

NM EQIP FY 2005 Ranking Criteria Worksheet - Grazing Lands - Carrizozo F.O.

Applicant: _____ Farm No. _____ Tract No. _____ CMS Field No's. _____ Date: _____

Tribal Land _____ Non-Tribal Land _____ Preliminary Rating _____ Final Rating _____

1. Plants - 150 Potential Points (25-50% of Total)

Note: Instructions on separate sheet		% Area in Contract Before Treatment		% Area in Contract After Treatment		Potential Points	Bench-mark Points	After Points
Rangelands:	SI of 76-1 00 w/trend up or not apparent	%	_____ + _____ + _____ =	%	_____ + _____ + _____ =	150		
Ecological	SI of 51-75 with upward trend	%	_____ + _____ + _____ =	%	_____ + _____ + _____ =	120		
Site	SI of 51-75 with downward trend	%	_____ + _____ + _____ =	%	_____ + _____ + _____ =	80		
Similarity	SI of 26-50 with upward trend	%	_____ + _____ + _____ =	%	_____ + _____ + _____ =	100		
Index	SI of 26-50 with downward trend	%	_____ + _____ + _____ =	%	_____ + _____ + _____ =	40		
(SI)*	SI of 0-25 with upward trend	%	_____ + _____ + _____ =	%	_____ + _____ + _____ =	50		
	SI of 0-25 with downward trend	%	_____ + _____ + _____ =	%	_____ + _____ + _____ =	0		
Riparian:	Use Attachment 1, 2, or 3	% Quality Bench Mark: _____	%	% Quality After: _____	%	150		
Grazed Forest:	Use Attachment 4	% Quality Bench Mark: _____	%	% Quality After: _____	%	150		
1. Plants		Total	100%	Total	100%	Total:		

2. Conservation Practice(s) Selection - 230 Potential Points (25-65% of Total)

Any practice used in the ranking criteria and intended to be included in the conservation plan of operations must be a cost-shared practice or have an incentive payment. Higher priority (value) should be given to those practices which address multiple resource concerns, are cost effective, and have longer life spans. Select resource concerns from NM Quality Criteria Guide.					Potential Points	Percent of Need to be Installed	After Points
Soil Erosion (sheet, rill, wind)							
Brush Management-314 Heavy					30		
Brush Management-314 Medium					20		
Water Quantity (Overland Flow)							
Brush Management-314 Heavy					30		
Brush Management-314 Medium					20		
Water Quality (surface water contaminants)							
Brush Management-314 Heavy					30		
Brush Management-314 Medium					20		
Plants (Productivity)							
Brush Management-314 Heavy					30		
Brush Management-314 Medium					20		
Fence-382					10		
Pipeline-516					10		
Water Facility-614					10		
Well-642					10		
Animals (population & resource balance)							
Brush Management-314 Heavy					30		
Brush Management-314 Medium					20		
Fence-382					10		
Pipeline-516					10		
Water Facility-614					10		
Well-642					10		
2. Conservation Practice Selection					Total:		

NM EQIP FY 2005 Ranking Criteria Worksheet - Grazing Lands - Carrizozo F.O.

3. Other Considerations - 30 Potential Points (10-25% of Total)

Items A thru D are required. If there are other criteria the D.C. wants to recommend based on LWG advice, please include them as item(s) E and F.	Potential Points	Benchmark Points	After Points
A. At risk species habitat will be enhanced.	10	0	
B. Treatment of this land could have a beneficial impact on a 303d listed stream segment.	5	0	
C. Treatment of this land could enhance the benefits of an active or planned sec. 319 project.	5	0	
D. The land is within a NMED designated Category I watershed.	10	0	
3. Other Considerations	Total:	0	

Total Points (After minus Benchmark): Section 1 _____ Section 2 _____ Section 3 _____ Total for Worksheet _____

Designated Conservationist

Date

Revised Nov. 2003